Separating light in time and space fully resolving partial coherence



David Miller Stanford University



Fully resolving partial coherence



C. Roques-Carmes, S. Fan and D. A. B. Miller



When we think about advanced optics such as metasurfaces and silicon photonics at least for analysis we mostly presume light is coherent e.g., from a single-frequency laser This makes analysis simpler and can have many applications



stanford.io/42iVWSv



But much light is incoherent or partially coherent Nearly all natural light is (e.g., sunlight)So understanding if we can use our advanced optics, e.g.,

- metasurfaces or metamaterials
- interferometer meshes

also with

incoherent or partially coherent light could open new possibilities

e.g., in sensing

allowing us to extract all the information that is there

even when only measuring powers

thermal light





pump





What information are we throwing away for example, with a conventional camera?

Answer

all the mutual coherence or "interference information" between the light landing on the different pixels

If we could collect all that information

we would have a much more complete version of the light field

for example, including all "focus" and depth information

and even without a lens



In general, the light from somewhat distant, mutually incoherent sources

e.g., a set of different LEDs

is partially coherent when we observe it Each "point source" LED produces approximately spherical waves at our receiving surface which each have coherence within them Even with all the LEDs on at once there still is some coherence in the arriving light

We could still see some interference between the light in different "pixels"



Note: we are presuming that all light is at a similar wavelength with coherence lengths longer than any path-length differences in our optics and for this discussion we limit ourselves primarily to spatial coherence Different colors here are for graphic clarity only

Describing partial coherence – the coherency matrix

If we presume a set of possible source fields written as mathematical vectors $|\mathbf{x}_j\rangle$ e.g., corresponding to the fields at a dense set of points not necessarily orthogonal to one another and which occur with probabilities P_j then by definition we can write the coherency matrix as

 $\rho = \sum_{i} P_{i} \left| \mathbf{x}_{i} \right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{x}_{i} \right|$

see, e.g., J. W. Goodman Statistical Optics (2nd edition) (Wiley 2015)

This essentially contains all the information we can know about this partially coherent field based only on average power measurements Note this has the same structure as the density matrix for the light from a set of single-photon emitters e.g., LEDs

Diagonalizing the coherency matrix

This coherency matrix, like the density matrix, has "good" properties it can be diagonalized on its (orthogonal) set of eigenfunctions $|\eta_m\rangle$ with real, positive eigenvalues μ_m

$$\rho = \sum_{m} \mu_{m} |\eta_{m}\rangle \langle \eta_{m}|$$

So, any partially coherent light field can be written as a superposition of orthogonal fields, all mutually completely incoherent and with powers given by the μ_m If we could measure this coherency/density matrix i.e., establish all the fields $|\eta_m\rangle$ and eigenvalues (powers) μ_m we would have completely characterized the partially coherent field retaining all "interference" information that is knowable from measuring average powers

Measuring the coherency matrix

Though this representation of the coherency matrix has been known for some time

- the "natural mode" basis or the Karhunen-Loève representation
- it has apparently not been known how to decompose onto this basis physically in general
 - or, equivalently, how to measure the full coherency matrix Such a physical decomposition would also separate the field into its mutually incoherent

components

We have figured out how to do this!

C. Roques-Carmes, S. Fan, and D. A. B. Miller, "<u>Measuring, processing, and generating</u> <u>partially coherent light with self-configuring</u> <u>optics,</u>" Light Sci Appl **13**, 260 (2024).

Self-configuring layers

A self-configuring layer is a set of connected two-beam interferometers topologically defined as

each input is connected to the "signal" output by one and only one path through the interferometer blocks

Such self-configuring layers can be uniquely set up for a given input field just based on physical power maximization at the signal output optimally coupling the amplitudes in the input waveguides to the signal output <u>"Self-aligning universal beam coupler,"</u> Opt. Express **21**, 6360 (2013); <u>"Self-configuring universal linear optical component,"</u> Photon. Res. **1**, 1 (2013); <u>"Analyzing and generating multimode optical fields ...</u> Optica **7**, 794 (2020)



Self-configuring layers

- For coherent light across the input waveguides
- the algorithm is completely progressive
 - successively minimizing power at the drop ports of the interferometer blocks by adjusting the phase shifters, one by one
- For partially coherent light, the algorithm would have to be a global optimization over all the phase shifters in the layer
- for example, by stochastic gradient descent
- Note that this is a physical optimization in real time on the optics
 - not a separately calculated optimization applied to the phase shifters

<u>"Self-aligning universal beam coupler,"</u> Opt. Express **21**, 6360 (2013); <u>"Self-configuring universal linear optical component,"</u> Photon. Res. **1**, 1 (2013); <u>"Analyzing and generating multimode optical fields ...</u> Optica **7**, 794 (2020)



Self-configuring layers

Other architectures

- such as the (symmetric) binary tree
 - still corresponding to the same topological definition
 - "each input is connected to the "signal" output by one and only one path through the interferometer blocks"

can also be used for the self-configuring layer and hybrids of the "diagonal line" and (symmetric) binary tree can be constructed

still obeying the topological requirement

"Self-aligning universal beam coupler," Opt. Express **21**, 6360 (2013); "Self-configuring universal linear optical component," Photon. Res. **1**, 1 (2013); "Analyzing and generating multimode optical fields ... Optica **7**, 794 (2020)



To perform the measurement and separation of the partially coherent field we construct a sequence of self-configuring layers (SCLs) separating out a "signal" output to one waveguide and passing the remaining waveguides to the next layer

A set of N-1 layers is sufficient to separate the field from N input couplers or pixels



Configure SCL 1 to maximize output power μ_1 Configure SCL 2 to maximize output power μ_2 Configure SCL 3 to maximize output power μ_3

...

Roques-Carmes et al., "<u>Measuring, processing, and</u> generating partially coherent light ..." LSA **13**, 260 (2024)

Configure SCL N-1 to maximize output power μ_{N-1}



Now the partially coherent field is fully measured The powers are the eigenvalues of the coherency matrix The settings of the interferometers in the self-configuring layers give the eigenvectors

Roques-Carmes et al., "Measuring, processing, and generating partially coherent light ..." LSA **13**, 260 (2024)



The field has been physically separated into its mutually incoherent parts

There would be no "fringes" if we interfered the powers in any pair of output waveguides!

Roques-Carmes et al., "<u>Measuring, processing, and</u> generating partially coherent light ..." LSA **13**, 260 (2024)

We are not aware of any previous way of doing this





However, for applications with small numbers of pixels e.g., some astronomical applications operating near the diffraction limit of the telescope such as exoplanet detection this may be a practically interesting approach

Roques-Carmes et al., "<u>Measuring, processing, and</u> generating partially coherent light ..." LSA **13**, 260 (2024)



The approach may also be interesting in "thought experiments" because it shows that there is in principle a loss-less machine that can perform this physical separation DM, L Zhu, and S Fan, <u>"Universal modal radiation</u> <u>laws for all thermal emitters,"</u> PNAS **114**, 4336 (2017)

Such "thought experiment" uses of such meshes have already been useful

e.g., in proving Kirchhoff radiation laws including diffraction and non-reciprocity



Reconstruction of partially coherent volume fields

Suppose we use the mesh to measure a partially coherent field presuming this is all at approximately the same wavelength noting the output powers and the interferometer mesh settings Then, later on, after the input field has been removed we can reconstruct it (in phase-conjugate backward form) by shining mutually incoherent sources of the correct powers in backwards



Reconstruction of partially coherent volume fields

This will reconstruct the (phase conjugate of) the original volume field (to the extent that the grating coupler arrangement could sense it)

We have effectively created multiple "holograms" one for each "natural mode" component



Reconstruction of partially coherent volume fields

We could then scan an image sensor through the reconstructed field to form cross-sectional profiles of it

- without having to scan this sensor through the original field or "sample"
- By turning on the backward powers one by one we could reconstruct the individual mutually incoherent components of the original field

Roques-Carmes et al., "<u>Measuring, processing,</u> and generating partially <u>coherent light ...</u>" LSA **13**, 260 (2024)



Conclusions

We now know how to

- measure the coherency/density matrix of partially coherent light
- separate it physically into its mutually incoherent components the "natural modes" or Karhunen-Loève decomposition

This is done using power maximizations with interferometer meshes

This means we have all the information to reconstruct the original field

either mathematically or physically (in a phase conjugate form)

Such systems could be run backwards to recreate previously "recorded" partially-coherent volume light fields

Practical demonstration with existing interferometer meshes looks like it should be feasible



Supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research FA9550-17-1-0002 and FA9550-21-1-0312

For a copy of these slides e-mail dabm@stanford.edu or link <u>stanford.io/42iVWSv</u>

